

## Proceeding of **International Workshop on Disaster Management for Roads**

ORGANIZED BY PIARC TC E.3, REAAA, JRA and Hanshin expressway

Tokyo, JAPAN, May 31, 2017,









## Table of Contents

1.	Workshop program	2
2.	Presentation Papers	6
3.	Workshop Discussions	93
4.	Workshop Summary	96
5.	Evaluation Summary of the International Workshop	97



## 1. Workshop Program

## (1) OUTLINE OF THE WORKSHOP

Workshop Theme:	International Workshop on Disaster Management for Roads
Co-organized by:	PIARC TC E.3 "Disaster Management" REAAA JRA
Supported by:	Hanshin Expressway
Date:	May. 31, 2017
Venue:	Iidabashi Rainbow building, Tokyo, JAPAN
Workshop schedule	May 31, 2017 Oral presentations and discussions June 1 and 2, 2017 Technical Visit



#### (2) FINAL PROGRAM

Time	Activity
	Opening Remarks – Moderated by ADACHI, Y (Hanshin expressway - JPN)
10:00 _ 10:30	<ul> <li>Welcome Address - TANIGUCHI, H., President, JARA</li> <li>Welcome Address - KIKUKAWA, S., Vice President, PIARC</li> <li>Welcome Address - HASHIBA, K., Vice President, REAAA</li> <li>Opening Remarks - TAMURA, K., Chair, TC E.3, PIARC</li> </ul>
	Session #1 – Moderator: GRUBER, J. (Department of Transportation - CZE)
10:30 _ 11:45	<ul> <li>KIYASU, K. (National Institute for Land and Infrastructure Management - JPN) - " Efforts for Recovery of Roads from the 2016 Kumamoto Earthquake"</li> <li>LISSADE, H. (Caltrans - USA) – " Emergency Management and Resilience in Transportation "</li> <li>MORI, M. (JICA - JPN) – " Japan International Cooperation Agency Technical Assistance on Road Disaster Risk - Management to the Government of El Salvador "</li> </ul>
11:45 _ 13:15	Lunch
13:15	Keynote Session – Moderator: TAMURA, K. (Kyoto University - JPN)
_ 14:05	<ul> <li>OKADA, N. (Kwansei-Gakuin University - JPN) – "The Age of Mega Disaster and Risk Governance - Thinking Creative for Road and Other Infrastructures "</li> </ul>
	Session #2 – Moderator: Moore, K. (Moore Associates - AUS)
14:05 _ 15:20	<ul> <li>GRUBER, J. (DOT, - CZE) - "Strategy of the Use of Temporary Bridges in Crisis Situations"</li> <li>ADACHI, Y. (Hanshin expressway - JPN) – "Disaster Management Using GIS Technology"</li> <li>ZHANG, J. (Changsha University of Science and Technology - CHN) – "Prediction and Enhancement of Resistance of RC Bridge during Service"</li> </ul>
15:20 - 15:40	Coffee break
	Session #3 – Moderator: Moore, K. (Moore Associates - AUS)
15:40 _ 17:20	<ul> <li>ONISHI, M. (Kyoto University, - JPN) - "A Methodology for Emergency Response Decision-Makings with the Consideration of the Unexpected Contingencies"</li> <li>GUSYEV, M. (ICHARM, MLIT - UKR) – "ICHARM's Practices of Flood Hazard and Risk Assessment"</li> <li>UNO, T. (Hanshin Expressway - JPN) – "Web-based Risk Management Manual"</li> <li>ELLIOTT, J. (Elliott asset management - UK) – "'Future Ready' Impacts and What They Mean to Our Highway Networks"</li> </ul>
17:20	Closing session
– 17:30	<ul> <li>Closing Remarks - SEKIMOTO, H. (Executive Director, Hanshin Expressway)</li> <li>Closing Remarks - TAMURA, K., Chair, TC E.3, PIARC</li> </ul>

## (3) PHOTO ALBUM

## WORKSHOP



Workshop venue



**Opening Session** 



Plenary Photo

TECHNICAL VISIT



Visit to Tokyo Aqua Line Tunnel (pressured TBM tunnel)



Visit to Kumamoto earthquake disaster site



Kumamoto Castle

## **Welcome and Opening Remarks**

TANIGUCHI, Hiroaki

KIKUKAWA, Shigeru

HASHIBA, Katsuji

TAMURA, Keiichi

President, Japan Road Association

Vice president, PIARC

Vice president, REAAA

Chairman, TC E.3 PIARC Adjunct Professor, Kyoto University,

















## <u>Efforts for Recovery of Roads</u> from the 2016 Kumamoto Earthquake

KIYASU, Kazuhide

NILIM, Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, transport and tourism JAPAN





## Efforts for Recovery of Roads from the 2016 Kumamoto Earthquake

#### May 31, 2017

#### Kazuhide KIYASU

Research Coordinator for Construction Management Research Center for Infrastructure Management National Institute for Land and Infrastructure Management Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism



Overview	🔮 国土交通省
Overview of the Kumamoto Earthor and the damage	luakes
◆Disaster response by MLIT	
♦The efforts to restore roads	
	2

























































## **Emergency Management and Resilience in Transportation**

LISSADE, Herby

Department of Transportation, State of California U.S.A.













## Caltrans Office of Emergency Management & Infrastructure Protection



## Caltrans Resourced Based Response to Emergencies

- 19,047 Department Employees (thousands of engineers and field personnel)
  - 7,750 Pieces of equipment
- 2 Aircraft
- 50,679 Lane miles of road
- 12,747 Bridges
- 330 Maintenance stations
- 713 Changeable Message Signs (CMS)
- 87 Safety roadside rests
- 364 Vista points
- 309 Park and Ride Lots
- 25,000 Acres of Landscaping
- 310 Pumping plants
- Tunnels and tubes

- 1703 Closed Circuit TV Cameras (CCTV)
- 87 Safety roadside rests323 Park and Ride Lots
- (P&R) and 87 Safety Roadside Rest Areas
- 143 Highway Advisory Radio stations (HAR)
- 4,000 handheld radios
- 1,000's of mobile radios
- Signals
- Safety barrier systems
- Drainage systems
- Electrical systems
- Ferries

## **Caltrans Capabilities**

- Architectural and Engineering Services
- Maintenance Field Operations
- Telecommunications
- Procurement and Warehousing
- Traffic Management
- Right of Way Real Estate Assets
- Aeronautics
- Construction Management/Engineering
- Planning
- Human Capitol









## State DOT's Major Responsibilities

- Highways
- Transit
- Freight and Passenger Rail
- Ports and Ferries
- General and Commercial Aviation Facilities
- Bike/Pedestrian
- Motor Carrier/Motor Vehicle Services
- State Patrol



## State DOT's - Guardians of Nation's Transportation Network

0

DOT's own & operate 1.8 million lane miles & 273,200 bridges

5 billion daily vehicle miles (DVMT) traveled on DOT's roads and bridges, or 65% of total DVMT

\$92 billion/year needed just to preserve system without extra security

rce: Protecting America's Roads, Bridges, & Tunnels: The Role of State DOTs in Ho

## Governance

Government, control, or authority

#### Governance

Establish Strategic Direction Ensure Compliance With Policies, Standards & Procedures Risks

#### Caltrans Emergency Response in Assisting Other Government Agencies

In response to Emergencies/Disasters, through CalOES, Caltrans will assist other agencies and local authorities with the restoration of function and mobility to affected city and county critical infrastructure.

Caltrans will also carry out "Mission Tasking" through CalOES, in areas not related to the transportation system (based on canabilities)



## Stafford Act Support to States



## **Definition and Context for Resilience**



#### California Emergency Functions CA – EF's

- Transportation
   Communications
- 3. Construction & Engineeri
- 4. Fire and Rescue
- 5. Management
- 6. Care and Shelter
- 7. Resources
- 8. Public Health & Medical
- 9. Search & Rescue
- 10. Hazardous Materials
- 11. Food & Agriculture
- 12. Utilities
- 13. Law Enforcement
- 14. Long-Term Recovery
- 15. Public Information
- 16. Volunteer & Donations Management
- 17. Cybersecurity



- **Prevention:** Capabilities necessary to avoid, prevent, or stop a threatened or actual act of terrorism.
- **Protection:** Capabilities necessary to secure against acts of terrorism and manmade or natural disasters.

**All Hazards Planning Fundamentals** 

- **Mitigation:** Capabilities necessary to reduce loss of life and property by lessening the impact of disasters.
- **Response:** Capabilities necessary to save lives, protect property and the environment, and meet basic human needs after an incident has occurred.
- Recovery: Capabilities necessary to assist communities affected by an incident to recover effectively.



California State Emergency Plan

Source: AASHTO. Fundamentals of Effective All Hazards Security and Resilience for State DOTs, 2015.

Why is Pre-Event Recovery Planning For Transportation Infrastructure recovery important?

- Effective and efficient Transportation Systems helps drive a nation's economy
- Pre-Event planning helps to accelerate the response and recovery of the Transportation System
- Opportunity to build back better
- Adds to the overall Resiliency of the Transportation System



#### **FEMA Recognized Typed of Disasters**

- Chemical Emergencies
- Dam Failure
- Earthquake
- Fire or Wildfire
- Flood
- Hazardous Material
- Heat
- Hurricane
- Landslide

- Nuclear Power Plant Emergency
- Terrorism
- Thunderstorm
- Tornado
- Tomau
- Tsunami
- Volcano
- Wildfire
- Winter Storm



# Pre-Event Recovery Planning For Transportation Infrastructure



#### **Basic Principles** Recovery Efforts are executed better when: - Resources are prepositioned Contractors are pre-approved Alternate Facilities are identified DISASTER ONG-TERM RE-DISASTER REPAREDNESS SHORT-TERN RECOVERY NTERMEDIATE RECOVERY Weeks / Months Days Ongoing ionths / Years Support mass care, sheltering, Rebuild infrastructure to meet future needs · Plan for recovery Repair/restore urgently needed infrastructure · Assess risks emergency services Implement mitigation activities and build Organize resource build partnership and temporary Infrastructure for Support interim housing, business resilience business reopeni reestablishment, and Clear primary public healthcare ortation route

(debris removal

- Recovery Is Different from Response
- Response Can Impact Recovery
- Short-Term Approaches Have Impact on Long-Term Recovery
- Rebuilding Is an Opportunity to Improve Infrastructure and Incorporate Resilience
- E Economic Impact Is a Part of Recovery
- Take a Collaborative Approach
- Take a Regional Approach
- Establish Priorities in Advance
- Organize Roles and Responsibilities
- **Be Aware of Funding Realities**
- Link the Pre-Event Recovery Planning to Other Plans
- Incorporate Flexibility and Identify Alternatives



Sea Level Rise Adaptation Options

#### 2013 STATE OF CALIFORNIA MULTI-HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN



## **Assessing Disaster Risk**

- Vulnerability Assessmen
- Threat and Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment (THIRA)
- California MULTI-HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN
- Assessing Disaster Risk Economic Studies
- 🗉 Plan
- Human Behavior
- Hazard Mapping
- Exercise and Training
- Caltrans Division of Research, Innovation and System Information Hazard Assessment and Response Tools
- RRAP & HayWired
- Implementation of New Technology
- Transportation Research Board
- Haiti Engineering, Inc.



## Threat and Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment (THIRA)



Threat and Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment Guide Comprehensive Preparedness Guide (CPG) 201 Second Edition August 2013

Homeland Security



## Plans

- State Hazard Mitigation Plan
- Emergency Operations Plan
- Continuity of Operations/Continuity of Government
- Pandemic Plan
- All Hazards Infrastructure Protection Plan
- Security Plan
- Recovery Plans
- I T Recovery Plan



## Human Behavior & Emergency Management Planning

- **•** How do people actually react & why during emergencies?
- Accept what is, not what we want to believe.
- What we plan, and what people actually do are increasingly different.
- **Design systems to support what people actually do.**
- **Engage Law enforcement in Planning and Response**



## PREPARATION

- **•** The effective use of Hazard Maps decreases the magnitude of disasters
- **•** Hazard Maps provide information on the range of possible damage and disaster prevention activities



#### **HIGHWAY MAINTENANCE STATIONS & Emergency Supply Chains**



30 Mile Buffer – Assess for response time Along Emergency Lifeline Routes and NHS



## CALTRANS MAPS

Earthquake + Fire Maps Flood + Landslide Maps **Supply Chain Maps** Traffic Flow Maps

















## ShakeCast at Caltrans

- Automatic delivery of ShakeMap products to Caltrans.
- Caltrans. Automatic analysis of potential bridge damage state based on Basoz & Mander methodology using ShakeMap peak spectral accelerations. Email/Page bridge inspection prioritization lists.





# Bridge Assessment Summary

#### for full e )ist-Cty-Rte-PN 11-IMP-098-22.02 11-IMP-098-22.07 11-IMP-098-21.07 INVOOD DTE WEI OTE WE MP-008-R13

#### ASSESSING DISASTER RISK - ECONOMIC STUDY U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY SAFRR - SCIENCE APPLICATION FOR RISK REDUCTION HAYWIRED SCENARIO





#### Mechanical Ice-breaking



#### **ASSESSING DISASTER RISK - ECONOMIC STUDY REGIONAL RESILIENCY ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (RRAP)**

- Caltrans is working with the U.S. Department of Homeland Security on a Regional Resiliency Assessment Program (RRAP) Project
- RRAP focuses on goods movement through high hazard areas from the Port of Long Beach through the

Cajon Pass (I-15) to the State of Nevada - 390 kilometers



## Implementation of New Technology



Earthquake Early Warning System



Icebreaker system

Eight units can be set to break the ice on the ground in real-time profiling





## **Transportation Research Board (TRB)**

Promoting Innovation and Progress in Transportation trb.org



## Identification of R&D Gaps & Needs

- 50+ other technical meetings 1. TRB Committee on Critical Transportation Infrastructure Protection shares research results from agency reps all sources & identifies research needs
- 2. AASHTO Special Committee on Transportation Security & Emergency Management (SCOTSEM) identifies and refers research needs

TRB Annual State Visits to DOTs, Universities, MPOs, Transit Agencies, Ports, Airports & other



## Private Sector

#### Haiti Engineering, Inc. www.HaitiEngineering.org

- Caltrans Engineers and other Professionals giving
- Lessons learned applied to skill set and BMPs/SOPs



## **TRB Brings People Together**

- Manage Research
- Deliver Policy Analysis & Advice
- Information Exchange: Meetings, Publications, Website, Dissemination, Outreach







## **TRB "Professional Society" Functions**

- 200 Standing Technical Committees - about 4,000+ people
- Constitute communities of interest
- Identify research needs •
- Sponsor sessions, conferences, and meetings 50+ events in addition to Annual Meeting
- Review and publish papers and reports
- Share information







#### **TRB Sponsors**

- American Public Transportation Association
- Association of American Railroads
- State Departments of Transportation (All)
- South Coast Air Quality Management District
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
- U.S. Air Force Civil Engineering Center
- U.S. Coast Guard
- U.S. DOT: OST, FHWA, FTA, FRA, FMCSA, FAA



ASSOCIATION OF AMERICAN RAILROADS



#### **TRB Hot Topic: Resilience**

Resilience is the ability to prepare and plan for, absorb, recover from, and more successfully adapt to adverse events.

- Natural disasters: blizzards, tornadoes, floods, hurricanes, wildfires, heat waves, earthquakes, and other natural hazards
- Human-induced disasters: acts of terrorism, financial crises, social unrest, cyber attacks



## Resilience at The National Academies (2015)



## TRB Hot Topic: Transformational Technologies

Transformational, or "disruptive" technologies, are those that can be expected to completely displace the status quo, forever changing the way we live and work.

- General examples: internet, personal computer, email, smartphone, GPS, big data
- Transportation: Connected/automated vehicles, shared vehicles, advanced versions of on-demand shared ride and micro-transit services, NextGen, cog in "internet-of-things"



#### **TRB Work in Resilience**

#### Disaster Resilience: A National Imperative (2012)

This report by the National Research Council defines "national resilience," describes the state of knowledge about resilience to hazards and disasters, and frames the main issues related to increasing resilience in the United States.



#### **TRB Key Products**

**Research Management** 

#### Cooperative Research Programs

- Highway
- Transit
- Airport
- Freight
- Hazardous Materials
- Rail





FIGURE 2-7 Presidentially declared disasters, top 10 states, 1953-2007. ared disasters in these 10 states represent 32 percent of all disasters derail Emergency Management Agency, U.S. Department of Homela newsydisaster\_totals\_annual fema.) Non: Declared d

55





- 4.
- Forum on Airport Roles in Reducing Communicable Diseases Transmission Improving Freight Transportation Resilience in Response to Supply Chain Disruptions
- 6. Incorporating Freight, Transit, and Incident Response Stakeholders into Integrated Corridor Management (ICM): Processes and Strategies for Implementation A Contracting Strategies Guidebook for Administration of Concurrent Regional Emergencies
- Proposed Guidelines for Performance-Based Seismic Bridge Design Proposed New AASHTO Load Rating Provisions for Implements of Husbandry
- 10.
- Applying and Adapting Climate Change Models to Hydraulic Design Procedures Leveraging Big Data to Improve Traffic Incident Management Update of A Pre-Event Recovery Planning Guide for Transportation
- 12.
- 13
- Research on Enhancing Transportation System Resilience Voice and Data Interoperability for Transportation 14.
- 15. Command-Level Decision Making

event planning to support transportation

infrastructure recovery.

- Research Support for Implementing Security, Emergency Management, and Infrastructure Protection at State Transportation Agencies
- Impacts of Connected/Automated Vehicles on State and Local Transportation Agencies
   A Guide to Ensure Access to the Publications and Data of Federally Funded Transportation-Related Research
- 19. Clear-Water and Live-Bed Scour in Long Contractions
- Deploying Transportation Security Practices in State DOTs
- 21. Emergency Management in State Transportation Agencies
- 22. Deploying Transportation Resilience Practices in State DOTs

#### NCHRP Project 20-59(14B) **Fundamental Capabilities of Effective All-Hazards** Infrastructure Protection, Resilience, and Emergency **Management for State Departments of Transportation** 2015



#### NCHRP Project 20-59(30) **Incident Command System (ICS) Training for Field Level Transportation Supervisors and Staff**

December 2015

#### NIMS/ICS: Perform Reliably & Effectively

- Goal of NIMS/ICS: Reliable and effective response to an event, emphasizing safety of DOT staff
- Achieved through
- Safety
  - Check-in, check out, demobilization
  - Personnel accountability
    - · Food, shelter, family contacts
  - Reimbursement
    - The job you save may be your own MAP-21 changes, debris removal
    - reimbursement



Check-In, Check-Out, and Demobilization at ICP



## Institute of Medicine

#### THE NATIONAL ACADEMIES PRESS

This PDF is available at http://nap.edu/18996



Healthy, Resilient, and Sustainable Communities After Disasters: Strategies, Opportunities, and Planning for Recovery

#### DETAILS

504 pages | 6 x 9 | PAPERBACK ISBN 978-0-309-31619-4 | DOI 10.17226/18996

#### AUTHORS

Committee on Post-Disaster Recovery of a Community's Public Health, Medical, and Social Services; Board on Health Sciences Policy; Institute of Medicine

SHARE 🕜 🕑 🛅



## INTERNATIONAL WORKSHOP ON DISASTER MANAGEMENT FOR ROADS

MORI, Mikihiro

Nippon Koei Co., Ltd. JAPAN









## 2. Non-seismic and Seismic Road Geohazard Events occurring at same road location

- Non-seismic road geohazard events
- Mostly due to storms, and also non-hydrological events such as rockfall.
- Higher probability and lower economic loss of road damage.
- Seismic road geohazard events
- Rockfall, soil collapse on road, road foundation collapse, bridge collapse.
- Lower probability and higher economic loss of road damage.

#### 3. "Integrated analysis of non-seismic and seismic road damage events" increase accountability for the investment of the road geohazard risk reduction

- Most structural measures for road geohazard are valid for both non-seismic and seismic caused events such as groundwater drainage for landslide or road embankment slope stabilization.
- Total risk of potential annual losses and annual average benefits of risk reduction can be summed up as the non-seismic and seismic risks and benefits.







#### 7. Proceduer of Evaluation/Estimation 7.1 Annual exceedance probabilities (%) or occurance probability in years of different extents of road geohazards events

- Rating checklist of occurrence probability in years for a non-seismic event; and critical horizontal seismic acceleration for a seismic event, which is converted to the occurrence probability according to the return period of the seismic magnitude at the evaluation location.
- A rating checklist with check item and their categories can provide the evaluation results of both for non-seismic and seismic road geohazard events. An example of an item is 'roadside slope angle, ' and its category is 'steeper than 40 degree'

Example of Rating Chec	klist of the Occu	irrence Pro	bability of Roa	d Geohazar	d Event
Check items and their categories for	Input '1' only	No Score of	n-Seismic Ha occurrence p	zard probability	Seismic Hazard
occurrence	for one	in years	: YpS of road	i damage	Score for critical
of a road geohazard event	category	roadside only	one lane closing	two lanes closing	acceleration (gal)
(1) Extension along ro	ad of hazardou	us road lo	cation: E		
E ≥ 300 m		0.5	1.0	2.0	5
300 m > E ≥ 200 m	1	1.0	2.0	4.0	10
200 m > E		3.0	6.0	8.0	20
Score of occurrence p years for the selected	robability in category 1:	1.0	2.0	4.0	10
SYp1					
(3) Whole height of m	ountainside sl	ope: WH			
WH ≥ 200 m		0.5	1.0	2.0	5
200 m > WH ≥ 100 m	1	1.0	2.0	4.0	10
100 m > WH		3.0	6.0	9.0	30
Score of occurrence p	robability in				
years for the selected SYp3	category 3:	1.0	2.0	4.0	<b>10</b> 9



- Each category (selection or identification of applicable category) assigns scores of occurrence probability in years or critical horizontal seismic acceleration. The rating is adding all scores selected or identified category.
- Each rating score is initially set by engineering judgment, calibrated by multivariate statistic analysis, searching the most suitable regression model by minimizing residual sum of squares of actual – calculated occurrence probability in years or critical seismic acceleration of road geohazard events. Actual values are returned period of rainfall index or seismic acceleration of the historical road geohazard events, a recurrent period of frequently occurred road geohazard events, and determined by numerical model calculation.
- Due to the difficulty to determine road damage levels and lack of historical events for the rating tool calibration, the rating for road damage levels is only for 1-3 levels as next table.

Rating checklist for	Rating for Road Damage	Levels
road location	Non-seismic events	Seismic events
With Mountainside slope	Roadside only' 'one- lane closing,' and 'two-	Rating is determined only for critical horizontal
With Valley side slope	lane closing.'	acceleration of road location damage. Road
With Stream crossing		determined by engineering judgment or numerical calculation.
A set of Bridge piers	Rating is determined	Rating is determined only
Bridge abutment (origin side)	only for critical occurrence probability	for critical horizontal acceleration of bridge.
Bridge abutment (destination side)	Bridge damage extent is determined by	determined by engineering judgment or numerical
Superstructure	or numerical calculation.	calculation.

If existing measures are on the location, an effect on the occurrence probability in years (Eyp\_EM) of existing measures is replaced the rating results. Eyp\_EM is target return period designed or occurrence probability in years. Eyp\_EM shall be modified to smaller if road or measures structures are damaged. Next table proposes Eyp\_EM for the effect of slope stability measures by design safety factor.

14

Proposed Values for the Effect of Slope Stability Measures on the Occurrence Probability in Years for Road Damage Events due to Geobazards

Note: "Slope failure" is a term used to cover slope fall, collapse, or slide.

Effect on the occurrence probability in years on a road location (years)	Design safety factor of slope stability (resistance force against slope failure force)
100	1.20
80	1.15
50	1.12
30	1.10





 The risk curve is derived from the plots of annual exceedance probability of disaster occurrence on the vertical axis and potential economic loss of road geohazard event on the horizontal axis.



#### 7.4 Risk Reduction Target in Annual Exceedance Probability (%/year) or Occurrence Probability in Years

Risk reduction target occurrence probability in years for a road location (unit: years): This is the target-occurrence probability in years of no geohazard damage-causing events on a road location when road geohazard risk reduction measures are in place.

Proposed Risk Reduction Target of Occurrence Probability in years for road geohazard damage events

Note: "Slope failure" is a term used to cover slope fall, collapse, or slide.

Proposed risk reduction target of occurrence probability in years on a road location (years)	Design safety factor of slope stability (resistance force against slope failure force)
100	1.20
80	1.15
50	1.12
30	1.10
	19

#### 7.5 Annual Risk Reduction Benefits

Annual risk reduction benefits are the potential annual economic loss with measures minus potential annual economic loss without measures. The risk curb shows as the area of risk curbs of without/with measures and axis of the chart.



#### 7.6 Cost of Risk Reduction Measures, Annual Maintenance Cost

Investment cost for road geohazard risk reduction (unit: currency): The planners of road geohazard risk reduction measures (experts in engineering geology and civil engineering) prepare a conceptual design with a rough cost estimation to meet the design target occurrence probability in years.

Annual maintenance cost for measures installed (unit: currency per year): The planners of the risk reduction measures of road geohazard also estimate the annual maintenance costs, such as the costs to repair or replace structure materials or to remove sediments from flood or debris control dams. 21

#### 7.7 Cost Benefit Analysis Indicators

Inputs items are annual risk reduction benefit, cost of risk reduction investment, annual cost, and discount rate (%)

Output are economic feasibility indexes such as benefit/cost ratio (BCR), net present value (NPV) and economic internal rate of return (EIRR) of risk reduction projects of road geohazard.

22

#### 8. Conclusions

It is aware of the limitations of this procedure and requirement of further improvement as follows.

The accuracy of evaluation results depends on the quality of data entered for road geohazard event and rainfall, seismic acceleration, which needs further improvement.

The accurate disaster records (occurrence time, magnitude, damage assessment including economic loss estimate), dense distribution of rainfall stations, and automatic recording of the definite period of rainfall amount are essential to improving the accuracy of the assessment results. Numerical geohazard model calculation should be conducted to compensate for a shortage of actual geohazard data.

23

## <u>Strategy and practical use of temporary</u> <u>bridges and supporting structures</u>

GRUBER, Jan

Department of Transportation Czech Republic





#### The Age of Mega Disaster and Risk Governance -Thinking Creative for Road and Other Infrastructures

Norio OKADA Professor Emeritus, Kyoto University, Japan Senior Fellow, IASS, Potsdam, Germany Adviser, IDIRRG, Kwansei Gakuin University, Japan International Workshop on Deaster Management for Roads © Iidabashi Rainbow Building, Tokyo, Japan May 31, 2017

#### Plan of my talk (1) Prelude: Sendai Framework for Action 0. Self-introduction 1. Seemingly different, two challenging issues which I predicted we would face, right after March 11, 2011 Eastern Japan Earthquake 2. Challenge I (local) Geo-spatial integration over time Adaptive design for smart governance makes difference 3. Challenge II (global) Geo-focused, Issue-based integration over time Adaptive design for smart governance makes difference

#### Plan of my talk (2)

4. Major message summarized:

 Mega-disasters challenge infrastructure : more creative thinking and communication (two competing process dynamics needed: top-down and bottom-up )

ii) Integrated disaster management, especially governance

#### 5. Conclusion

◆Further ahead (from reactive to proactive)

 Anticipating Nankai Trough Earthquake in the Western Pacific Coast of Japan

♦Climate change

#### Prelude

ISDR's Sendai Framework for Action 2016-2025

#### Preamble

- a) To adopt a concise, focused, forward-looking and action-oriented post 2015 framework for disaster risk reduction;
- (b) To complete the assessment and review of the implementation of the Hyogo Framework for Action 2005-2015: Building the Resilience of Nations and Communities to Disasters;
- (c) To consider the experience gained through the regional and national strategies/ institutions and plans for disaster risk reduction and their recommendations, as well as relevant regional agreements for the implementation of the Hyogo Framework for Action;
- (d) To identify modalities of cooperation based on commitments to implement a post 2015 framework for disaster risk reduction;
- (e) To determine modalities for the periodic review of the implementation of a post 2015 framework for disaster risk reduction.

#### To attain the expected outcome, the following goal must be pursued: • Prevent new and reduce existing disaster risk

through the implementation of integrated and inclusive economic, structural, legal, social, health, cultural, educational, environmental, technological, political and institutional measures that prevent and reduce hazard exposure and vulnerability. *Psaster* disaster, increase preparedness for response and recover *manage* and thus strengthen resilience. *- ment cycle* 

#### Priorities for action

- Priority 1: Understanding disaster risk.
- Priority 2: Strengthening disaster risk governance to manage disaster risk. Geo-spatial integration over time
- A daptive design for smart governance makes difference !
   Priority 3: Investing in disaster risk reduction for resilience.
- Priority 4: Enhancing disaster preparedness for effective response and to "Build Back Better" in recovery, rehabilitation and reconstruction.
- (a) To reaffirm that developing countries need enhanced provision of coordinated, sustained and adequate international support for disaster risk reduction, in particular for the least developed countries, small island developing States, landlocked developing countries and African countries, as well as middle-income countries facing specific challenges, through bilateral and multilateral channels, including through enhanced technical and financial support and technology transfer on concessional and preferential terms, as mutually agreed, for the development and strengthening of their capacities;
- (b) To enhance access of States, in particular developing countries, to finance, environmentally sound technology, science and inclusive innovation, as well as knowledge and information sharing through existing mechanisms, namely bilateral, regional and multilateral collaborative arrangements, including the United Nations and other relevant bodies;

#### Means of implementation (continued)

- (c) To ecompte the use and expansion of thematic platforms of <u>cooperation</u>, such as global technology pools and global systems to share know-how, innovation and research and ensure access to technology and information on disaster risk reduction; Geo-spatial integration over time
- Adaptive design for smart governance makes difference !
   (d) To incorporate disaster risk reduction measures into multilateral and bilateral development assistance programmes within and across all sectors, as appropriate, related to poverty reduction, sustainable development, natural resource management, the environment, urban development and adaptation to climate change.

#### Follow-up actions

 The Conference invites the General Assembly, at its seventieth session, to consider the possibility of including the review of the global progress in the implementation of the Sendal Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction 2015–2030, as hart of its integrated and coordinated follow-up processes to United Nations conferences and summits aligned with the Economic and Social Council, the High-level Political Forum for Sustainable. Development and the quadrennial comprehensive policy review cycles, as appropriate, taking into account the contributions of the Global Platform for Disaster Risk Reduction and regional platforms for disaster risk reduction and the Hyogo Framework for Action Monitor system.















infractructure)
-----------------

## Systemic risks and their risk governance O. Renn (2017 etc) x N. Okada (2016)

- · Interconnected world
- · Networks of networks, Systems of systems
- · Science of Complexity • Emergence
- Cascading (Domino) effects
- Slowly developing risks ⇒Catastrophe
   Structural change and transformation
- · Breakthrough-making leading to innovation
- Breakdown of society and/or economy and survival failure
- Challenge for infrastructure: Super (-geo)-spatial risk governance over long period of time









more self-developing process, open community, new challenges by new and old residents


















What and how to build back better devastated Eastern Japan Region?







Interregional highway routing from a longerterm perspective but local section finetuning might work effectively for a limited period of time latitude?







## Neighborhood community 3 (plus New?

Neighborhood community 1+2 Communities successfully undivided

Industry 1 and shops

Fishery industry

# Taro, Miyako City, Iwate

A tsunami devastated town

research outlet developed by Prof. Masaaki Taro, Iwate University





http://goethe.nikkei.co.jp/images/human/121106/ph10.jpg

Mr. Shigeatsu Hatakeyama's initiative

> Supported by Louis Vuitton

http://jp.louisvuitton.com/jpn-jp/articles, activities-of-louis-vuitton

http://idea.pixebay.com/photo/2016/08/02/21/19/budgies-1565033\_1280.jpg NGO "Moriwa Koibioto": "The eforestis longingfor the sea". http://image.space.rakuten.cojp/d/stg/ctvl/9/10ad/ 216d25466/8bafe49e21cb65/8e2cdbe3f.63.2.9.2.jpg http://pds.exblog.jp/pds/1/201112/08/23/c0180023\_22214178.jpg http://ist2.depositphotes\_com/4486149/12340/v/950/d epositphotes\_123407378-stock-illustration-simplestriped-pattern-horizontal-way jpg http://livedoor.4.blogimgjp/himasoku213/imgs/a/4/46f688e0.pg

Recovery of Coastal Fauna after the 2011 Tsunami in Japan as Determined by Bimonthly Underwater Visual Censuses Conducted over Five Years

- Reiji Masuda ,
- Makoto Hatakeyama,
- Katsuhide Yokoyama,
- Masaru Tanaka
- Published: December 12, 2016
  <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0168261</u>



Adaptive design for smart governance makes difference

## Where scientists find their roles ?

- Theories and models
- Art of facilitation and communication
- Systematic documentation and archives
- Process design of adaptive management
- Workshop methods







# Risk Governance of Infrastructure needs more creative and imaginative

- "Critical infrastructure"
- Physical objects vs. Situation-dependent, Perception-dependent subjects/issues?
- Infrastructure potentially turns "critical mode", and more "cascading" to become "globally-critical mode" under systemic disaster risks
- Depends on how we perceive, how we scope the problem complex and what /how we wish to govern
- Systemic disaster risks make mega-disaster globally impacting disasters











# Collaborative survival rules tentatively developed

- Rival companies joined rescue and recovery
- And revised when next and next mega disasters occurred
- · If we can promote this more strategically
- Adaptive design to ferment communicative space over time for smart governance
- · New rules and collective behaviors implemented



# Risk Governance of Infrastructure needs more creative and imaginative

- "Critical infrastructure"
- Physical objects vs. Situation-dependent, Perception-dependent subjects/issues ?
- Infrastructure potentially turns "critical mode", and more "cascading" to become "globally-critical mode" under systemic disaster risks
- Depends on how we perceive, how we scope the problem complex and what /how we wish to govern
- Systemic disaster risks make mega-disaster globally impacting disasters





## Significant volcanic ash events

 Eyjafjallajökull, Iceland, 2010. The eruption's ash plume drifted eastward, reaching as far as the United Kingdom and parts of Western Europe. Air travel over western and northern Europe was disrupted for six days because of the amount of ash elected into the atmosphere and the forecast that the ash would reach some areas of very high air traffic volume. The contingency plans and procedures for airspace control during this event were not adequately defined or understood resulting in significant disruption to European and North Atlantic air traffic.

#### FOURTH MEETING OF THE INTERNATIONAL VOLCANIC ASH TASK FORCE (IVATF/4) (Montréal, Canada, 13 to 15 June 2012) • Recommendation 4/1— • In the context of ground-based lidar capabilities for volcanic ash

 In the context of ground-based lidar capabilities for volcanic ash detection in support of the ational Airways Volcano Watch (IAVW), ICAO be invited to encourage the World Meteorological Organization (WMO) to continue its efforts to include volcanic ash in its programme of the Global Atmosphere Watch (GAW), recognizing that

the GAW provides a strong framework for improving the use of lidar techniques and networks for the detection and characterization of volcanic ash in the atmosphere.

#### Adaptive design for smart governance

#### Geo-focused, Issue-based integration over time

- Meeting together and repeat
- A seed of a rule/practice is adaptively brought forth.
- Make it a tentative(seed) rule/practice, and ferment it over time.
- Small to start, reneat sten-by-step with incremental knowledge development, and networking
- Adaptive design for smart governance
- The modest rule to start with is to meet again with small homework
- Research initiatives joined/endorsed by multiple stakeholders

## 4. Major message summarized

i) Mega-disaster challenges infrastructure : more creative thinking and communication (top-down and bottom-up)

ii) Integrated disaster management, especially governance

http://www.idrim.org/ iii) Risk governance: Perspective, Methodology

and Process Design of Communication Platform







Thank you for your kind attention!

# <u>Disaster Management Technologies</u> <u>in Hanshin Expressway</u>

ADACHI, Yukio

Hanshin Expressway JAPAN









	Motorways	au network ( kin	691			
	1 <sup>st</sup> class roads	1 <sup>st</sup> class roads 2 <sup>nd</sup> class roads 3 <sup>rd</sup> class roads				
	2 <sup>nd</sup> class roads					
	3rd class roads					
	Total length	Total length				
	Bridges	Underpasses	Railway Crossings		Tunnels	
	number	number	number		number	
Motorways	843	530		0		8
1st class	3548	1181		220		11
2 <sup>nd</sup> class	4467	536		685		1
3rd class	8042	842		1678		2
Tetel	16900	3089		2583		22













## Regulatory measures in road transport

- A part of a system of economic measures for crisis situations:
- provide services in accordance with the emergency plan
- special legislation (Act No. 13/1997 Coll., On roads)
- Act No. 241/2000 Coll., On economic measures for crisis situations

## The main task of resilience

In order to maintain the mobility of transport infrastructure and to ensure the functionality and transport service, bridges are particularly critical.

The Ministry of Transport directs its efforts to ensure the repair of damaged or destroyed bridges in crisis situations by replacing them with temporary bridge constructions.

Sources of these materials are available to business entities or stored in standby stocks of the State Material Reserves Administration.



## Emergency reserves

- materials and products to ensure the needs of the population and for operations of emergency services
- generated in the case when materials and products are not available



IVI	anagement of the Provisional
B	ridges under Crisis Situations
Leader:	Ministry of Transport
Partners:	Ministry of Defence
	University of Defence Brno
	Administration of State Material Reserves
	Pood and Motorway Directorate
	Technical Cohoola
	Private Businesses
Users:	Bridge Owners (Stricken Regions,
	Municipalities, Infrastructure Managers)
	16
	10

Road Infrastructure Recovery						
Floreda	Type of bridge				Total	
Situations	MS		TMS			
	Number	Length	Number	Length	Number	Length
July 1997	14	384	6	219	20	603
July 1998	4	99	1	27	5	126
August 2002	39	798	13	606	52	1.404
March 2006	2	48	0	0	2	48
June 2009	15	294	1	27	16	321
August 2010	19	393	1	66	20	459
June 2013	5	96	1	15	6	111
TOTAL	98	2.112	23	960	121	3.072



#### Use of temporary bridges in crisis situations

- Types and degrees of damage to bridge objects:
- · Light damage to bridge structure without impact on load capacity
- Damage to the load bearing structure of the bridge with bearing capacity
- · Destruction of the bridge object
- Damage or destruction of the access road



# Summary of measures to restore transport infrastructure

A summary of measures to restore transport infrastructure includes :

- Planning,
- Construction and technical measures,
- · Provision of resources and their preparation,
- · Preparation of activation of forces and resources,
- · Own recovery, which is divided into: site survey
  - designingconstruction work.

20

# Implementation of building temporary bridge

#### Construction preparation

 Ensuring building permits, Depositing of debris, Landscaping, Disconnection of utility networks, Ensuring site space, Securing auxiliary building materials - road panels, aggregates, construction timber)

#### Construction realization:

 Moving of building materials and technology; Focus and positioning of the runway, positioning of the bridge and pillars; Positioning of the crane; Construction of the runway; Construction of the individual parts of the bridge; Ejection of the bridge; Stacking of the bridge and construction of ramps on the bridge; Facilities (eg barriers, pedestrian walkways, traffic signs); handover of the bridge to the traffic controller

#### Operation and maintenance:

•Performing regular inspections and prescribed Technical Conditions maintenance





# <section-header><complex-block><complex-block>















## Bridge Set - MS

- The structure is composed of full bridges parts of length 3 m.
- The bridge is approved for civilian traffic.
- MS is characterized by rapid and simple construction and lowcarrying capacity.





## Pontoon bridge set - PMS

- It is a standardized folding bridge structure on floating supports
- The material of the set may be used to assemble bridges of floating supports with load-bearing capacity from 20 to 170 tons





## Bridge Piers - PIZMO

- It is a steel framework dismountable structure, which may be easily adapted to the load, and height and loadbearing capacity of the ground foundation
- Serves as a support for both railway and road bridges























## Bridges mobile MT-55A













Atypical use of railway temporary constructions













#### Practical experience of using temporrary bridges













## Practical experience of using temporrary bridges





Installation of chips that are placed on important components and give information on how many times the element was used, where it was used and how many times it was cyclically loaded. 62





# **<u>Prediction and Enhancement of</u> <u>Resistance of RC Bridge during Service</u>**

ZHANG, Jianren

Changsha University of Science and Technology CHINA







## Contents

1. Highway network in Japan and Hanshin expressway

- 2. Lessons learned from previous earthquakes in Japan
- 3. Disaster Information Management using GIS Technology
- 4. Recent study for earthquake disaster mitigation
- 5. Conclusion









































































Conclusion	Hanshin Expressway
Disaster Management Technologies in Hanshin Expressway is presented here by introducing so management measures.	ft
In addition to soft management measures, hard management measures especially for tsunami disaster is also going.	ł
Continuing seismic retrofit program is also goir raise up the performance level from "not-collap "keep road function".	ng to ose" to
	40



# A Methodology for Emergency Response DecisionMakings with the Consideration of Unexpected Contingencies

ONISHI, Masamitsu

Kyoto University JAPAN

















71

③ よけポコ大学

③ よけポンナギ


# <u>ICHARM's Practices of Flood Hazard and</u> <u>Risk Assessment</u>

GUSYEV, Maksym

ICHARM, Public Works Research Institute, MLIT, JAPAN UKRANE





INTERNATIONAL WORKSHOP ON DISASTER MANAGEMENT FOR ROADS May 31, 2017@Tokyo

A Methodology for Emergency Response Decision-Makings with the Consideration of Unexpected Contingencies

Masamitsu Onishi Disaster Prevention Research Institute, Kyoto University & Katsumi Seki, Katsumi Wakigawa, Kiyoshi Kobayashi

# はじめに Introduction

東日本大震災を受けての 土木学会長・地盤工学会長・日本都市計画学会長 共同緊急声明

今回の選災は、古今未曾有であり、選定外で あると言われる。われわれが想定外という 言葉を使うとき、専門家としての言い家 や弁解であってはならない。このような巨 大地置に対しては、先人がなされたように、自 然の奇な6ップットも組み合せた対応という災絶 別のみな6ップットも組み合せた対応という見 点が重要であることを、あらためて確認すべき である。 Joint Statement by the Presidents of JSCE, JGS and CPIJ after the Great East Japan Earthquake

This earthquake disaster is said to be unprecedented and beyond expectation. However, when we use the term **beyond expectation**? it **must not mean an excuse as professionals**. For such a catastrophi disaster, we should reconfirm the importance of soft policy as well as hard policy (e.g. building facilities), holding awe for nature as our ancestors did.

# 想定の必要性

Why an expectation is needed?

- 公共的意思決定としての災害対策
   Disaster countermeasures as public decisionmaking
- 公共的意思決定に求められる説明責任 Accountability required for public decision-making
- 因果関係に基づく近代合理主義 Modern rationalism based on causality relationship



# 想定の要件 Requirements for Expectation 災害現象に関する専門家の分析 Analysis by professionals on disasters' physical phenomena 経験を踏まえた社会による受容可能性 Acceptability by society based on experience 想定として既往最大がしばしば用いられる The maximum experience level is often employed as an expectation. 社会的合意としての想定 Expectation as a social consensus





### 防災から減災へ From Disaster Prevention to Disaster Reduction

- FIGHT DISASTER FREVENCION to DISASTER REDUCTION
- ・想定内の災害シナリオに対しては、完全に防御できる.
   Disaster scenario with in the expectation can be prevented.
- 安全神話 A myth of safety
- ・想定外リスクの可能性は排除できない. Risk of beyond expectation cannot be excluded.
- 完全には防御できないことを前提にどうすべきか?→
- On the premise that we cannot protect from disaster perfectly, how should we do? → Disaster Reduction













# おわりに Conclusion

- 想定は基本対策のための社会的コンセンサス(=第1 次想定) Expectation is a social consensus for selecting a basic countermeasure (=the first-order expectation) 第2次想定まで含めた第1次想定を超える基本対策で 対応できない災害シナリオ(想定外リスク事象)と対応 する非常時対策の検討 By extending to the second-order expectation, identifying disaster scenarios that a basic countermeasure cannot cope with (i.e., the risk of unexpected) and consideration of emergency countermeasures. countermeasures.
- 重層的対応システムの必要性 Necessity of multi-layered countermeasure system •

# **Development of the Web-based Disaster Management Manual**

UNO, Takumi

Hanshin Expressway JAPAN









# Flood disasters overview

Floods are devastating disasters causing major economic damages









#### Flood hazard and risk assessment Risk = Hazard x Vulnerability nd local r Local data are usually unavailable. Global and local model • Models are constructed with globally available datasets such as satellite Flood fre based topography and rainfall and tion of inundation simulations are compared with satellite images of flood extent. Flood river discharge and inundation depth are calibrated with local data collected during field surveys to include road planning and design of road facilities, embankment, etc. Vulnerability (or fragility) curves are developed using collected damage data of past floods.

Reference: Gusyev, et al. 2016; Shrestha et al. 2016













# Development of the Web-based Disaster Management Manual

May 31st, 2017 Tokyo, JAPAN TCE.3, PIARC

### Shinjuro KOMATA

Nippon Koei Co., Ltd. JAPAN

# Contents

- 1. What's Risk Management Manual ?
- 2. Characteristics of Web-based RM-Manual

2/37

- 3. Components of RM-Manual
- 4. Functions of Components (Toolbox, Archives,
- and Links)

1/37

- 5. Inventory Sheets in Toolbox
- 6. Towards RM-Manual Completion





1. What's RM-Manual? 5/37

# 2) System of

# Web-based RM-Manual

/ Designed by **Web-application**: Drupal, mySQL Database

/ version :	Easy PHP 5.3.5.0
/ Web server:	Apache 2.2.17
/ language:	PHP 5.3.5
/ database:	MySQL 5.1.54
/ content manageme	ent system:Drupal 7 <sub>₅</sub>

1. What's RM-Toolbox? 6/37

/ Using a Web-application provides an easy, centralized always accessible, and uncomplicated method

for PIARC TC members to contribute risk management techniques and examples to the database

#### 2. Characteristics of RM-7/37 2. Characteristics of Manual Web-based RM-Manual 1) Point and click directly:

/To show components in the RM-Manual database such as Toolbox with Inventory sheets and Archives by point and click directly.

#### 2) Search function:

/To search subjects both in the RM-manual and in other external Websites to link to a searchable risk management database such as technical standards, technical papers, etc.

#### 3) Building continually:

/To continue building a vibrant Website for road communities.

# 3. Components of RM- Manual

3. Components of RM-Manual

8/37

#### **Risk Management Manual:**

A knowledge database of Road Risk Management Technology with Lookup Facility:















5. Inventory sheets in Toolbox 17/30



14/37

Man-made disaster Inventory Sheets Probability of Road accidents: (Example: Traffic accident, dangerous goods transport, overloading vehicles, Tunnel fire etc)

Other events:

(Example: Closure of road due to explosion in the factories near road, fire, effect of nuclear accident, terrorism, war etc)



























	Relation RM Process/ Toolbox 31/3	7			
Identify the Risk					
Data/Information Collection	Disaster history, Weather Information Inspection ····	2118 H			
	Visual inspection, etc···				
Source Identification	Measurement management system, etc				
	Number No.1 No.2				
Islandified to a Diale	Name R15 Site A R15 Site B				
Identity the Risk	Described Rock fall Landslide				
	Status Emerging Live				
	31				

		Relat	tion RM Process	/ Toolbox 25	/37
Analyse Risks					
		Likelihood	No.1	No.2	
		Threat	Rating 5	Rating 1	
Deting the		Opportunity	Rating 1	Rating 4	
Likelihood		entory She	et 3,15	No.3 Event M using GIS	ap
		Consequence	No.1	No.2	1
		Threat	Rating 100	Rating -10	1
Deting the		Opportunity	Rating 10	Rating -70	
Rating the	Rating the			— 5.0 sec	
Consequence			RAD		
	Inv	entory She	eet 53 <mark>No</mark> .	53 Analysis (C 32	DEM)

		Relation RM I	Process/ 1	Toolbox	33/37
Evaluating th	ne Risks				
	<u> </u>	No.1		No.2	
	Risk Score	500		10	
Threat	Risk Category	Extreme		High 2 -280 Very High	
	Risk Ranking	1			
	Risk Score	-10			
Opportunity	Risk Category	Low	V		
	Risk Ranking			1	
<pre></pre>	And a state of the	investid terms	And and a lot of the space of		
Internet States of States	Participal         Part of the second se	THE STREET		Million Contraction	
		And A Contraction of	1007 1007 475 475	Salar I	
	100 III III	10000 10000 10000 4000 4000	200, 200 ·	State-	
	1007 1000 1000		1	ALTER.	
	AND ADDRESS OF ADDRESS			321 111	
No.112 Ris	sk Evaluation oss)	No.113 Ris (G	k Evalua ain)	ition	
In	ventory Sheet	112,113,11	4•••		



36/37

6. Towards RM-Manual Completion 35/37 6. Towards RM-Manual Completion	Summary	Summary 30
1) Goal:	1. What's Risk Management Manual ?	?
/ PIARC association's web-based knowledge data base / Polosse to PIARC web site	2. Characteristics of Web-based RM-	Manual
by the end of current cycle, 2019	3. Components of RM-Manual	
2) TCE.3 member's contribution useful contents	4. Functions of Components (Toolbox	x, Archives,
to the RM-Manual continually: / RM information, topics, and articles	and Links)	
/ Inventory sheet, / RM manual, / Case studies on techniques for managing	5. Inventory Sheets in Toolbox	
risks of natural/man-made hazards including adaptation to the climate change effects.	6. Conclusion	3



# **Future Ready' impacts and what they mean to** <u>our Highway Networks</u>

ELLIOTT, James

ELLIOTT ASSETT MANAGEMENT U.K.





























# **Closing Remarks**

SEKIMOTO, Hiroshi

TAMURA, Keiichi

Executive director, Hanshin expressway

Chairman, TC E.3 PIARC Adjunct Professor, Kyoto University,









# **3. SEMINAR DISCUSSIONS**

# Opening Session 10:00-10:30

# Session1 10:30-11:45

Efforts for Recovery of Roads from the 2016 Kumamoto Earthquake Kazuhide Kiyasu, Japan

# Q1

In my country (Czech Republic) we usually use temporary bridges to detour to avoid damaged bridges across the river. Do you use temporary bridges in Japan?

## A1

In Japan we also use temporary bridges in some cases, but in Kumamoto case we decided to use the alternative road because the damaged area was quite wide. As for Aso-Bridge, it was not appropriate to use temporary bridges because of the bridge length and we decided to fully

# Emergency Management and Resilience in Transportation Herby Lissade, USA

# Q1

In the case of disaster, it is necessary to recover the function of highway network quickly. At the same time we need to pay attention to bid rigging in procurement. Bid rigging would help procurement process to be shortened but it would not be the reason why bid rigging is justified in the disaster situation.

In Cartrans do you have any counter-measure to make public procurement quick and fair A1

We've just checked how to make an emergency contract. We prepare 1.2 billion for an emergency contract for recovery works. We calculate the appropriate price with 20 - 30 % markup and confirm contractors in advance.

Federal Government also supervise the procurement process in order to prevent bid rigging. We can compare the price of the emergency contracts with that of past ordinary contracts.

## Q2

Is it possible to share the assessment results using your evaluation tools?

A2

The tools which is produced by TRB are published for free via the website.

# <u>Japan International Cooperation Agency Technical Assistance on Road Disaster Risk Management</u> <u>to the Government of El Salvador</u> <u>Milihiro Mori, Japan</u>

Q1

The delay of recovery also causes the economic loss. In your method how do you consider the duration time for recovery works?

A1

I refer to research results by PWRI on days required for recovery works depending on the scale of slope damage. In my method economic loss per day is calculated based on traffic volume and detour and standby losses. Then I can calculate total economic loss by multiplying daily economic loss derived from my method by the duration of road closure.

# Keynote Presentation 13:20-14:05

<u>The Age of Mega Disaster and Risk Governance – Thinking Creative for Road and Other</u> <u>Infrastructures</u>

<u>Norio Okada, Japan</u>

# Session2 14:05-15:20

Strategy of the Use of Temporary Bridges in Crisis Situations Jan Gruber, Czech Republic

Q&A NO

Disaster Management Using GIS Technology Yukio Adachi, Japan et. al.

Q&A No

Prediction and Enhancement of resistance of RC Bridge during Service Jianren Zhang, China

Q&A No

Session3 15:40-17:20

A Methodology for Emergency Response Decision-Makings with the Consideration of the

Unexpected Contingencies

Masamitsu Onishi, Japan et. al.

Q1

How do you expect and define the valuation of disaster.

A1

We need academic evidence for disaster expectation because it is really difficult to define it. Therefore risk communication between experts and public is important.

# ICHARM's Practices of Flood Hazard and Risk Assessment

<u>Maksym Gusyev, Ukraine et. al.</u>

Q1

Is this method expensive?

A1

This is not expensive but it depends on the resolution of data.

# <u>Web-based Disaster Management Manual</u> <u>Takumi Uno, Japan et. al.</u>

Q&A No

Closing Session 17:20-17:30

# 4. Workshop Summary Sheet

1	PIARC Technical Committee	PIARC TC E.3 Disaster Management
2	Host country	Japan
3	Seminar title	International Workshop for Disaster Management for Roads
4	Seminar venue	lidabashi Rainbow Building, Tokyo, Japan
5	Seminar dates	May 31, June 1-3, 2017
6	Number of speakers from lower middle income and low income countries	1 (UKR)
7	Number of speakers from upper middle income countries	1 (CHN)
8	Number of speakers from high income countries	9 (CZE-1, JPN-6, UK-1, USA-1)
9	Number of participants (exclusive speakers) from lower middle income and low income countries	1 (LAO)
10	Number of participants (exclusive speakers) from upper middle income countries	1 (MEX-1)
11	Number of participants (exclusive speakers) from high income countries	107 (CZE-1, KOR-1, JPN-105)
12	Total participants (sum of Q6-Q11)	120
13	Total participants from host country	111
14	Number of lower middle income and low income countries represented	2
15	Number of upper middle income countries represented	2
16	Number of high income countries represented.	5
17	Was a PIARC Technical Committee meeting held the same week?	YES (2.0 day meeting)
18	Was the seminar held in connection with another non-PIARC event? If yes, which event and organization?	JRA, REAAA & Hanshin expressway
19	Duration of the seminar, incl. field visit. Was a field visit organized?	YES (Tokyo Aqua Line and Kumamoto earthquake disaster area)
20	Registration fees – (Currency)	€ 0

# 5. Evaluation Summary of the International Workshop For TCE.3 Tokyo (JAPAN) 31<sup>th</sup> May 2017

# 1. General Information

General information is tabulated below.

Relevant organizations	PIARC TC E.3 JRA, REAAA, Hanshin expressway
Number of participants	120
Number of countries involved	9
Number of answers for questionnaire	48
Theme of workshop	International Workshop for Disaster Management for Roads
Technical visits	Tokyo Aqua Line Kumamoto earthquake disaster area

# 2. Synthesis of answers

Average satisfactory rating of each answer is shown below.

Item	Strongly agree (5 pts)	Agree (4 pts)	Neutral (3 pts)	Disagree (2 pts)	Strongly disagree (1 pt)	Average score
a) The seminar provided useful information/knowledge.	9	32	6	1	0	4.0
b) The content of the seminar was current and relevant.	9	27	11	1	0	3.9
c) The methodology of the seminar was productive.	9	31	7	1	0	4.0
d) The seminar responded to my expectations.	9	20	17	2	0	3.8
e) The content of the seminar met its terms of reference.	11	28	8	1	0	3.9
<ul> <li>f) The quality of the presentations was high.</li> </ul>	8	26	13	1	0	3.9
g) The quality of the discussions was high.	3	7	20	18	0	2.9
h) Time for discussions was adequate.	5	10	23	9	1	3.2

# 3. Comments and opinions to be noticed

- The workshop covers wide variety topics
- Information sharing of foreign and current case studies.
- Do it annualy
- The presentaiton that covers PIARC activites is expected.
- We expect handouts for clear understanding of the presentations.
- Presented materials should have been downloaded from website in advance
- Few question and no interactive discussion

