Sharing the urban road space PIARC – C 4-3 Committee Seminar on "Urban Pavements" Cracow (Poland) – 21-22 September, 2005

> Assoc. Prof. Bystrik BEZAK Member of PIARC C 2.3 Committee "Urban areas and integrated urban transport" Slovak University of Technology Bratislava e-mail: bezak@syf.stuba.sk

> Prof. Csaba KOREN Chair of PIARC C 2.3 Committee "Urban areas and integrated urban transport" Szechenyi Istvan University, Gyoer, Hungary e-mail: koren@sze.hu

# Sub-Group 1

## Sharing the Main Street

#### Chair

Ms. Hillie Talens, Netherlands

#### **Members**

- Jürgen Gerlach, Germany
- Anne Sigrid Hamran, Norway
- Thomas Kieliger, Switzerland
- Dominique Thon, France
- Naofumi Takeuchi, Japan
- Bystrík Bezák, Slovakia
- H.K. Srivastava, India

#### Goals

- to give an overview of different guidelines from all over the world for (re)designing a Main Street
- to make a catalogue of best practice examples
- to provide a list for further reading

### Definition

- A Main Street is mostly an old street leading to a city centre
- Along such a street many activities take place

#### **Use of the Main Street**

- Through traffic
- People live in these streets
- Or they work there
- Shops and restaurants
- Resting places
- Schools and religious buildings

#### **Problems**

- All these functions are often hard to combine in what is generally a narrow space
- Everybody has to share the Main Street
- Road designers, economist and planners struggle with a Main Street

### **Definition of an urban main street :**

It is a (mostly old) street in an urban area leading to a city centre. Along such a street many activities take place; people live in these streets or they work there. There are shops that need to get goods to sell and consumers to buy things and restaurants and resting places. Sometimes you can find schools or religious buildings along the street. And there is through traffic on their way to the city centre.

#### **Solutions**

- We want to make things a bit easier for them to offer them a range of possible solutions from all over the world;
- both in theory and in practice

#### Outputs

- Guidelines
- To draw conclusions about designing a Main Street
- Exploring different possible approaches

#### **Guidelines**

- Australia
- Belgium
- Canada
- Denmark
- Finland
- France
- Germany
- Hungary
- Japan
- Netherlands
- Norway
- Scotland
- Slovakia
- South Africa
- Switzerland
- United Kingdom (Scotland)
- USA

#### **Examples**

- Hikone, Japan
- Oslo, Norway
- Arnhem, Netherlands
- Utrecht, Netherlands
- Hennef, Germany
- Bern, Switzerland
- Bratislava, Slovakia
- Orleans, France\*
- Schwerin, Germany\*
- Wuppertal, Germany\*
- Okayama, Japan
- Durban, South Africa

#### **Outline of report**

- Aim, definitions etc.
- Results from guidelines
- Examples from all over the world
- Bibliography (and interesting websites)

#### Analyses

- Comparing guidelines on different design elements
- Comparing examples on effects of (different) measures

The analyses of the documents were based on three different themes:

The type and approach of the documents
How the urban main street is dealt with
Design philosophy and subjective evaluation

### 1. The type and approach of the documents

- Standards
- Guidelines
- Handbooks
- Catalogue of examples

2. How the urban main street is dealt with

- The definition of an urban main street comprises widely different streets – no precise comparison
- The norm is to divide roads into categories
- Transport function is important for classification
- Focus on the needs of through traffic
- Ways to treat the environmental friendly modes
- No strong policy on the provision for bikes
- Bus stop are often the only PT facility mentioned

3. Design philosophy and subjective evaluation

- The relation between transport function and urban setting
- Focus on environment-friendly transport nodes
- Comprehensive documents
- Schematic documents



#### Space and traffic characteristics of selected examples

Traffic volume →	10,000-30,000	30,000-50,000
Width 🗸		
20 – 30 m	Hennef, Germany	
30 – 40 m	Bratislava, Slovakia	Wuppertal, Germany Durban, South Africa

#### No example

**Deficiencies before conversion** 

- high traffic volume
- predominance of car-traffic
- unattractive pedestrian tunnels
- insufficient recreation areas for pedestrians
- high velocity
- high exhaust impact
- unused areas because of trolley line ceasing

#### **Conversion measures:**

- lane width reduction from 3.50m to 3.25m
- building of additional overground pedestrian crossings
- reduction of lane numbers
- setup of bus lanes and busgates
- creating of recreation and rest areas for pedestrians
- building of a planted middle section
- bicyle lanes diverted from pedestrians
- new bus station

### **Pedestrian Crossings**





- **Results of the evaluation**
- relative constant loading
- reduction of delays
- reduction of traffic congestions
- homogenisation of traffic flow
- but: interference of emergency vehicles because of the reduced lane width



## **Examples:** Hennef / Germany

**Deficiencies before conversion** 

- historical buildings and ensembles are ignored
- roadway controlled the street space
- heavy traffic during peak hours
- cyclists and pedestrians needs are ignored

## **Examples:** Hennef / Germany

- **Conversion measures:**
- smaller driving lanes
- more space for pedestrians and cyclists
- creating of recreation and rest areas for pedestrians
- building of a paved middle section for easier pedestrian crossing and speed reduction

### **Examples:** Durban / South Africa

- Situation before redesign of the Marine Parade:
- major through road
- City is cut off from the beachfront
- conflicts between pedestrian and vehicular traffic

### **Examples:** Durban / South Africa

- Situation after redesign of the Marine Parade:
- new crossing facilities with traffic lights and zebra stripes
- People places along the route
- New designed street furniture

### **Examples:** Bratislava /Slovakia

Krizna-Vajnorska Street Historical Radial Road

Krizna - spot measures at Benka square Vajnorska – linie measures of 900 m

## Examples: Bratislava /Slovakia

### **Traffic Load**

Daily variations of motor car traffic in Bratislava





000

### **Examples:** Bratislava / Slovakia

Deficiencies before conversion of Benka square at Krizna street:

- busy road traffic during whole day
- very high speed
- irregular on-street parking
- strong pedestrian transversal and longitudinal traffic
- ignored cyclists and pedestrians needs

## **Examples:** Bratislava /Slovakia





#### M. Benka square before



Krizna street – cross section

M. Benka square after

## **Examples:** Bratislava /Slovakia

Conversion measures at Krizna –Benka square :

- barrierless pedestrian crossing
- subterranean parking in storeyed garage
- new greenery, fountain and street architecture
- rest places for pedestrians
- new polyfunctional building housing, shops, restaurants, offices





## M. Benka square todaynew street architecture



#### Ideas on Krizna street for future

#### M. Benka square today - greenery and rest facilities <sup>29</sup>

## **Examples:** Bratislava / Slovakia

Deficiencies before conversion of Vajnorska street:

- busy road traffic during whole day
- very high speed
- very high accident rate
- unemployed space of P&R facility
- insufficient location of PT stops
- monofunctional buildings and areas
- ignored cyclists and pedestrians needs
- but many attractive pedestrian and cyclists destination (sports and recreational areas)

## **Examples:** Bratislava /Slovakia





### Inter football stadium in the adjoing sport area

#### Id blocks of houses alongside Vajnorska street





Lake Kuchajda in the adjoing rest complex



## **Examples:** Bratislava / Slovakia

### **Conversion measures at Vajnorska street:**

- reconstruction of tram track in middle section with anti-noise measures
- better location of PT tram and bus stops combined with barrierless pedestrian crossings
- more space for pedestrians and cyclists
- creating of recreation and rest areas for pedestrians with greenery, waterworks and street architecture
- new of polyfunctional building complex and new POLUS city shopping centre



### View over Vajnorska radial in the direction to the city centre

Milenium Tower II.





Fountain in front of entrance of the centre





Polyfunctional building and off-street parking

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*



Bus stop in front of the POLUS shopping centre – Vajnorska street

Busy access traffic to the shopping centre



**Conclusions:** 

- Redesign only when problems show necessity
- Combine selected functions carefully
- Avoid boring uniformity
- World wide trend: more space for cyclist and pedestrian and time sharing
- Allow motorized traffic than allow parking
- Public involvement = acceptance

# Thank you for your

# attention!

S. Hainton:

37